

1 Heber City Corporation
2 City Council Meeting
3 04/03/2008

4
5 7:00 p.m.

6
7 REGULAR MEETING

8
9 The Council of Heber City, Wasatch County, Utah, met in **Regular Meeting** on April 3, 2008,
10 in the City Council Chambers in Heber City, Utah.

11
12 Present: Mayor David R. Phillips
13
14 Council Members Jeffery Bradshaw
15 Elizabeth Hokanson
16 Eric Straddeck
17 Nile Horner
18 Robert Patterson
19
20 Also Present: City Manager Mark K. Anderson
21 City Recorder Paulette Thurber
22 City Engineer Bart Mumford
23 City Planner Allen Fawcett
24 Chief of Police Ed Rhoades
25

26 Others Present: Tom Post, Todd Cates, Michael Thurber, Laren Gertsch, D. Glissmeyer, Lois
27 Berggren, Paul Berg, Irene Hastings, John Owens, Sean Mallon, Melanie Clark, Sandy
28 Mahoney, Lesa Bryant, Paul Johnson, Mary Kelly, Tom Whitaker, Randy Drake, Rita Drake,
29 Bill Munck, Jenny Lewis, Val Draper, Kelly Ryan, Steve Gibson, Tuck Lowe, Wayne Thacker,
30 Mike Johnston, Kathy Day, Glinda Straddeck, Francis Harrison, Ann Horner, Terry Shoemaker,
31 Kay Phillips, Claudia Bradshaw, Keith Johansen and others whose names were not legible.

32
33 Pledge of Allegiance: Councilmember Jeffery Bradshaw
34 Prayer/Thought Councilmember Elizabeth Hokanson

35
36 OPEN PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS

37
38 No comments were made.

39
40 PUBLIC HEARING

41
42 **Ordinance 2008-07 – Public Hearing – Consideration of the Parkside Annexation – a 10.98**
43 **acre parcel located at the Southwest corner of Southfields Road and Highway 113:** City
44 Recorder, Paulette Thurber, read the Public Notice. Mayor Phillips opened the Public Hearing
45 for comments.

46
47 Laren Gertsch indicated he lived in Midway. He said he was more concerned about the bypass
48 road that affected this property than the annexation itself. He commented that the City and
49 County had had six proposals during the years for the bypass. He discussed some of those. The
50 latest proposal was to go on the east side of Kay Probst' property and he, Gertsch, was the

1 property owner directly to the north. He said the current proposal would cut his property in half
2 and he questioned if this bypass plan was so far along it couldn't be addressed or altered. He
3 talked about his property and some difference in the road to help accommodate his property. He
4 indicated he had already given a lot of property up for the Provo River. He requested that before
5 this was approved, they as property owners had a say in where the road would go. Gertsch
6 pointed out his property on the overhead so everyone could understand his issues. He questioned
7 why he had to give up more property than some of the others in the area and wondered if he
8 needed to build a house on the property to prevent the road. Mayor Phillips indicated the plan the
9 City and County had agreed to was a road running west of Southfield Road. Gertsch said the
10 road seemed to be creeping to the west. Mayor Phillips continued his discussion on the last
11 proposal between the City and County. He talked about the conditions of annexation imposed on
12 the properties that had been annexed in the last while. Gertsch asked why the proposal was not
13 through the middle of the Parkside property like it was through the middle of his. He said there
14 had not been any contact with property owners on where the bypass should go. He suggested
15 before this was approved, more discussion should be held on the placement of the road.

16
17 Councilmember Straddeck asked if he had talked to the County. Gertsch said he had talked to
18 three County Councilmembers today. They told him they would talk with him and make
19 considerations. Mayor Phillips talked that this proposed piece for the bypass lined up with what
20 the City had already acquired.

21
22 Mike Kohler, County Councilmember, said as they had gone through this process, they had put a
23 lot of lines on maps. They had also been contacted by Russ Witt and how he was being impacted.
24 He said it was time to have meetings with land owners. Councilmember Straddeck suggested this
25 particular piece would set the alignment.

26
27 Ernie Giles said he had some of the same concerns as Gertsch. From maps he had seen, the
28 bypass could sever his property right in half. He said to his knowledge, no one had approached
29 any land owner. He continued this proposal would take his Southfields connection away from
30 him and would devalue his property. He said he would have to access on SR113. Giles suggested
31 there were concerns with a lot of property owners.

32
33 Anderson said that from staff perspective, staff was fairly comfortable with the alignment south
34 of SR113. He said the City had acquired three pieces of land already on the south. He said,
35 though, on the north of SR113, there were wetland issues. He said Mountainland Association of
36 Governments (MAG) had done some initial studies and did not see any reason to preclude the
37 City from building the road. He suggested it was time to get a committee together to talk about
38 the Corridor Preservation Fees and right-of-ways. He said the Fee had been in place for two
39 years and there had not yet been a meeting addressing those.

40
41 Mayor Phillips closed the Public Hearing and asked the City Council for discussion.

42
43 Councilmember Straddeck said one question he had was the original annexation agreement
44 indicated a right-of-way given to the City of 84 feet and the agreement in the packet indicated
45 100'. He asked if the petitioner had agreed to that. Paul Berg said yes. He said that after working
46 with the Planning Commission and after the Open Space Ordinance, they changed the plan. An
47 overhead was shown. Berg said they had also added a landscaping buffer. Anderson indicated the
48 City had recently gotten back the study on this intersection on what UDOT wanted after working
49 with the City and the County. Mayor Phillips felt this was the time to make a definite decision on
50 the bypass.

1 Councilmember Horner felt the Council needed to table this issue. He suggested if this
2 annexation was approved, it would set in stone the location of the intersection.

3
4 Councilmember Horner moved to deny annexation until further studies could be made on the
5 intersection. Councilmember Straddeck made the second. Councilmember Straddeck indicated
6 the issue for him was the Council had no idea what the future meetings might reveal and that this
7 might need to be significantly altered.

8
9 Councilmember Patterson asked if there was a time limit on a continuance. Anderson said he
10 thought the Code said either deny or accept. Councilmember Hokanson said it was a mistake to
11 deny. She said the City had worked on this for years and the City had made a lot of movements
12 to make sure this was the corridor preserved. Councilmember Horner said it was always his
13 understanding the bypass was just west of Southfield Road and this was the first time he had
14 seen the road this far west. Mayor Phillips pointed out this alignment was what was agreed to by
15 both the City and County. Anderson talked about the property the City had already acquired on
16 other annexations in the bypass area. Councilmember Straddeck felt there were more discussions
17 that needed to take place. Councilmember Patterson said he did not want to start this process all
18 over again. Councilmember Bradshaw said there had been time and effort put into this and it was
19 not in the best interest to stop and redirect now. Councilmember Straddeck said he wanted to
20 have as minimal impact to property owners as possible. Councilmember Bradshaw said the
21 Council had to consider how UDOT would allow the crossing of SR113--it had to be north and
22 south only per UDOT.

23
24 Tony Kohler talked about the subdivision that had been approved on the Newton Annexation and
25 said it would be hard to tweak at this point. Councilmember Hokanson this was not just a line on
26 a paper now--the City had acquired a lot of land already.

27
28 Paul Berg said this was the third annexation on Southfield Road and the second he had been
29 involved with. He said it had been made known to him that the bypass was going to be in this
30 location.

31
32 Mike Kohler, County Councilmember, suggested this be continued based on the fact that the City
33 and County had just gotten the footprint from UDOT. Councilmember Straddeck said he would,
34 if he was allowed, withdraw his second in favor of a continuance. Councilmember Straddeck
35 withdrew his second. Because there was no second to the Motion, the Motion died.

36
37 Councilmember Bradshaw moved to continue this issue. Councilmember Hokanson made the
38 second. No further discussion. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative

39
40 Anderson suggested it might be helpful to have a work meeting and update the Council on what
41 the history of this was. Mayor Phillips asked Mike Kohler to set a meeting time for the City
42 Council, County Council and property owners to meet.

43 44 APPOINTMENTS

45 46 **Chief Rhoades – Recognition of Citizens (Jeff Smith, Todd Schofield, and Randy Drake):**

47 Chief Rhoades appreciated the opportunity to recognize these members of the community. He
48 said his Department looked to the community to be the eyes and ears for the community. He
49 continued they depended on businesses and citizens to help to make law enforcement's job
50 easier.

1 He explained that a man had been coming into the community forging prescriptions and it was
2 through the pharmacy at Smiths that they realized what this man was doing. He said that through
3 their observation, this man was charged with a felony. Consequently, his Department and the
4 City wanted to recognize Mr. Drake and his staff for the good job they did.
5

6 **Jacob Barton – Scout Project – Building a shed at the Animal Control Shelter:** This issue
7 was not addressed.
8

9 **Val Draper – Bill Munck – Skatepark project update:** Wasatch County Councilmember Val
10 Draper said Bill Munck had been invaluable in this process. He said it had been over a year since
11 this process started in an Interlocal Governments Meeting. He said they had signed a contract
12 and the contractor was on site. He continued they had been working over the last couple weeks
13 with a significant amount of donated labor. It was felt this project would be finished in July and
14 the County Council wanted to have the grand opening during the Wasatch County Fair. Munck
15 indicated this had been a long journey, but the community had given great support--\$25,000
16 donated labor already. He said the structural fill had come from the County and over \$100,000 in
17 addition monies donated by the County and others.
18

19 Mayor Phillips presented the County with a check in the amount of \$25,000 which had been
20 promised at the start of the project.
21

22 County Councilmember Draper said there was a lot of excitement among the kids in the
23 community. He said the County was in the process of drafting the rules and regulations for the
24 Park and would appreciate any input from the City.
25

26 **Tom Whitaker – Cowboy Poetry – Report on Last Year’s Event and Request for**

27 **Contribution:** Whitaker thanked the Council for their service to the community. He said when
28 he heard the problems of the community, he was glad they were in those seats and not him. He
29 thanked the Council for the support of the City in the past. He indicated this Event was a valley
30 event, but the City’s name was on it. He hoped it would continue to grow and continue to be
31 something to be proud of.
32

33 Whitaker said he had hired Mary Kelly to help him and since then it had gotten more organized.
34 He said they have added a Saturday night show before the Gathering with Colin Ray and they
35 were hoping for 3,000 to 4,000 people. He said everyone attending that event would get a
36 brochure for the next week’s event and hoped that would bring more people into the City the
37 next week. He indicated Michael Martin Murphy called earlier in the evening and said to be sure
38 and tell the Council that Heber City had the best Gathering in the Country. Whitaker said they
39 had also added a second train--they would provide the entertainment and the train would get the
40 proceeds. He continued they were adding other new events that would bring people into town.
41 Whitaker talked about the documentary they were doing and said, too, the poster this year was a
42 Robert Duncan drawing. He said they were excited to take more events to the Event Center and
43 looking forward to the fifteenth year next year at the new high school. It was indicated Benny
44 Martinez would be participating this year and doing a show in every grade school.
45

46 Whitaker said they were requesting \$25,000 this year and \$30,000 next year as they wanted to
47 increase their outside marketing. He suggested everyone coming from out of state stayed
48 overnight and spent money. He said he wanted locals to keep coming but also wanted the out of
49 state people.
50

1 Whitaker said he did give back to the community which, when seen by the volunteers, was one
2 of the things that motivated them.

3
4 Whitaker said the reason they wanted to ask for the two years, was because right after the
5 Gathering, he and Mary were contracting for the next year--that way it gave them a little
6 breathing room and they could work on the contracts for the future year. Mayor Phillips
7 discussed how the City had shown support over the years. Councilmember Horner felt the City
8 and the whole valley benefited from this Event.

9
10 **Wasatch County School District – Request to Waive Road and Water Impact Fees for the**
11 **new High School:** Councilmember Bradshaw declared a Conflict of Interest and said he would
12 not be voting. Councilmember Horner declared a Conflict of Interest and indicated he would not
13 be voting. Councilmember Patterson said he could make an unbiased decision.

14
15 Terry Shoemaker, Superintendent of the Wasatch County School District, expressed appreciation
16 to the Council for their time and said he was grateful that the entities were working together on
17 this project. He said, too, he was appreciative to Chief Rhoades and his staff for their work
18 during the recent serious problem. (Bomb scare) He wanted the Council to know how grateful
19 they were for Heber City Police Force and the other law enforcement agencies. He felt they had
20 worked very well together and everyone was pleased how it all ended.

21
22 Shoemaker said he wanted to look at what the Utah Code said about impact fees and wanted to
23 examine how the fees were calculated. He referred to and read Utah Code 11-36-201.4 and
24 11-36-201.5. He said the fee was difficult to figure based on the law but suggested entitled credit
25 always needed to be looked into. He said he recognized that this was a challenge. He then
26 referred to 11.36.202E. He indicated that Francis Harrison and Mumford had worked very
27 closely on this issue and also that Mumford had indicated to him that there was a possibility of a
28 reduction in some of the fees. Anderson said if there were adjustments in order, they would be
29 made for those issues that were appropriate. Francis Harrison talked about the impact fees. An
30 outline of his calculations were passed out.

31
32 Councilmember Straddeck said that in the interest of streamlining this discussion, he and the rest
33 of the Council were confident in Mumford and that if Mumford said he would look at these, he
34 knew he would. He said if the School District was looking for a reduction, he would want to hear
35 from Mumford but if they were looking for an elimination of fees, then that part of the discussion
36 needed to be addressed. Shoemaker said that was what they wanted. Also, they wanted to make
37 sure the Council was aware there might be some changes in the proposed impact fees.

38 Shoemaker discussed the water usage and passed out a document outlining their usage. He
39 questioned at what point do we say to the taxpayers in the City there is a need to tax again. He
40 continued that when Old Mill Elementary was built, the District built 980 South and installed the
41 sidewalk on that. He said the Special Service District did not assess them for sewer impact nor
42 did Twin Creeks Special Service District assess them for sewer impact. He said there were
43 significant costs to putting the high school on that particular site—they had to move big power
44 lines and there were very significant costs putting the 54” pipe in the canal as well as very
45 significant cost in upgrading the 10” pipe to a 12” pipe. He said they were building two roads.
46 He said the School Board urged the Council to consider this issue and work together on the fees.
47 He thought it would be possible to not access fees if there was no impact.

48
49 Councilmember Straddeck indicated he appreciated the presentation and agreed with Shoemaker.
50 Shoemaker said that from his point of view, there was no impact or very minimal impact.

1 Councilmember Hokanson moved to continue this discussion to a later time. Councilmember
2 Patterson made the second. Voting AYE: Elizabeth Hokanson, Eric Straddeck, and Robert
3 Patterson. Nile Horner and Jeffery Bradshaw recused themselves.

4
5 ACTION ITEMS
6

7 **Sean Mallon, PacLand Engineering – Lois Berggren, BSW International – Requesting City**
8 **Council approval for a building larger than 150,000 square feet for Wal★Mart Stores, Inc.**
9 **– Request is being made under Section 18.42.050(E) of the Heber City Municipal Code:**

10 Sean Mallon, PacLand Engineering (Agent for Wal Mart in the land use application) 1505
11 Westlake Avenue North, Seattle, introduced himself. He said they were asking for an approval to
12 increase the building size based on Heber City’s definition of gross square feet. He stressed the
13 fact that the building footprint and height had changed only minimally since it was previously
14 presented. He talked about the garden center, cart alcoves, and entry vestibules which were
15 typically excluded in figuring the gross square footage. He continued that after working with the
16 City and viewing the City’s Ordinance, it was decided the definition of gross square feet had to
17 include those areas and that was why they were before the Council tonight to get approval for a
18 change. He explained they just needed a little flexibility. Mallon said, too, that the project
19 architect from BSW was with him and she could answer any questions.
20

21 Mayor Phillips asked about any additional changes. Mallon indicated the dock had been changed
22 to the other side. He said they had been working with Fawcett on the design review and
23 sometimes, coming out of those meetings, there was some tweaking that needed to be done. He
24 pointed out again that most other agencies excluded the garden center, vestibules, etc., when
25 figuring the square footage. When asked if this design could be changed, Mallon said this was a
26 prototypical building and it would be extremely difficult to make changes to the prototypical
27 design (internally). Councilmember Horner said he did not understand why the square footage
28 would change with or without a garden center. Mallon said it was all due to Code interpretation
29 and that the basic footprint had not changed.
30

31 Lois Berggren, BSW Architects, explained this request came into play because of Heber City’s
32 Code which calculates from the outside face of the wall. So when an exterior wall is extended
33 out, that increases the square footage. She said that generally, when calculating square footage
34 space, it did not include the garden center or the area where one enters the store. However, the
35 way Heber City’s Code reads, measurements had to be taken from the outside walls. Anderson
36 explained the Building Code used the interior walls but Heber City’s Code talks about enclosed
37 areas which would include the fencing around the garden center. Berggren said with the approval
38 of the Council on this issue, they could move forward. It was further explained that when
39 façades were added to the building per the requirement of the City’s Code, that added square
40 footage, as well. Berggren said the inside of the building, architecturally, was done and any
41 change would be due to the façade, garden center, vestibules etc.
42

43 Councilmember Hokanson moved to approve the authorization to increase the gross square
44 footage up to 167,000 square foot. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. Councilmember
45 Horner said he had a problem with that. He said that the referendum had passed but that before
46 the building even got started, the Council was making a change. He felt the Council was pulling
47 the wool over the citizens’ eyes. Councilmember Straddeck felt that if the Council was going to
48 increase the square footage, it should be tied to a particular item. He did not think anything
49 should be approved unless it was tied to something specific. He suggested the Council would

1 have to address this again after the building had gone through the design criteria process. Fawcett
2 talked about the wording in the Code which had been drafted by the Big Box Committee.

3
4 Mayor Phillips asked for a vote. Voting AYE: Elizabeth Hokanson, Jeff Bradshaw and Robert
5 Patterson. Voting NAY: Eric Straddeck and Nile Horner.

6
7 **Todd Cates – Red Ledges Land Development Inc. – Final Subdivision Plat Approval Phase**

8 **1B – A 44 Lot Subdivision:** Cates indicated he wanted to give a quick update and overview to
9 the new Councilmembers.

- 10 • Golf Course grassing would start June 1 or so. Wanting to have that ready for play in
11 2009
- 12 • 95 % sewer complete
- 13 • All roads were at sub grade
- 14 • Telephone, power and gas prepped for installation
- 15 • Made first installment on mitigation fees--paid by December 1 last year.
- 16 • Working on perpetual open space easement
- 17 • Public trails along Lake Creek Road planed for in Phase 1
- 18 • Lake Creek Road improvement planned for in Phase 1
- 19 • The improvement to Timp Canal started late Nov (piping) (That being the biggest job)
- 20 • The bypass road was on schedule
- 21 • Started selling lots in October. 75 homes sites closed or under contract

22
23 Cates said they were here tonight for the cabin approval--most everything had been approved
24 under phase 1A. He said they wanted now to plat those pads.

25
26 Councilmember Horner asked if they had been in contact with the Wasatch County Weed Board
27 and what they had planned for the noxious weeds. Cates said yes. Cates said they had walked the
28 land with the Board and that they did have a plan. He said each home owner would be
29 responsible for their property; but, if they did not comply, they could be fined. He said the HOA
30 would make them comply as it was part of the CC&R's. Cates said, too, they had one man
31 assigned to the whole project as Director of Grounds. He said he had worked with the Weed
32 Board and knew the problem areas.

33
34 Councilmember Patterson moved to give Final Plat Approval for Phase 1B of the Red Ledges
35 Development as presented. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. The voting was
36 unanimous in the affirmative.

37
38 **Mike Johnston – Summit Engineering – Petition for Annexation of 16.15 acres of land**
39 **known as the Monroe Annexation – Property located at approximately 1400 South**

40 **Industrial Parkway:** Johnston said they were back because of the 1-year deadline on the
41 Broadhead Annexation. He said the petition presented was the exact one except it included the
42 Mel Price properties. He said they were asking the Council to accept the petition and send to the
43 Planning Commission for future study. He indicated this proposal conformed to the Master Plan.

44
45 Councilmember Hokanson moved to accept the annexation petition known as the Monroe
46 Annexation, property located at approximately 1400 South Industrial Parkway, and send it to the
47 Planning Commission for further study. Councilmember Patterson made the second. No further
48 discussion. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative.

1 **Mike Johnston – Summit Engineering – Petition for Annexation of 2.49 Acres of Land**
2 **known as the Wayburn Annexation – Property located at approximately 1700 North**
3 **Highway 40:**

4 Councilmember Straddeck moved to accept the Annexation Petition known as the
5 Wayburn Annexation for property located at approximately 1700 North Highway 40 and send to
6 the Planning Commission for further study. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. No
7 further discussion. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative.

8 **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

9
10 **Ordinance 2008-06 – Consideration of a change to the Heber City Municipal Code –**
11 **Repealing 18.85 Cottage Overlay District, and Amending Section 18.12.200, Small Lot**
12 **Split; 18.56.020, R-2 Zone Permitted Uses; 18.56.030, R-2 Zone Area Requirements;**
13 **18.60.030, R-3 Zone Area Requirements; and 18.102.040, Affordable Housing**
14 **Requirements; and Adopting Chapter 18.83, Neighborhood Infill Overlay District:**

15 Jason Boal indicated that based on the conservation from the meeting two weeks ago, there were some
16 changes made and also some additional code references that were not included last time that
17 needed to be changed from Cottage Home to Neighborhood Infill. He reviewed that the
18 ordinance would require two (2) off-street parking spaces within the setbacks as if it were a
19 garage and the driveway had to be hard surfaced.

20
21 Anderson indicated any lots approved under the Cottage Home Ordinance and not yet having a
22 permit, would be subject to this new ordinance, if adopted. Boal indicated the Planning
23 Department had sent out letters to all those that had had lot splits approved. He said that most
24 everyone had been receptive except for one person that was attending the meeting tonight.
25 Councilmember Straddeck indicated he did not like the “track” driveway. He felt it was out of
26 character to this community.

27
28 Kent Bybee indicated he had two lots on 400 North 300 East. His said his basic concern was that,
29 in good faith, he had preliminary plans drawn up based on the current Code and with the new
30 proposal, he had to “scrap those plans and eat those costs”. Bybee suggested that had this
31 ordinance been in affect, he would have taken more property off the existing home and made the
32 lots different sizes. He said the only thing he could do now was not build the garage.

33
34 Councilmember Straddeck thought the suggested changes were necessary but wondered what the
35 recourse for Bybee would be. Councilmember Horner asked about sidewalk issues. Boal
36 indicated the proposed code would require a sidewalk to be built. He pointed out the City policy
37 was to get sidewalk during the development stage, when possible. Councilmember Horner
38 suggested so many homes in old town Heber didn’t have sidewalk and he thought that was an
39 unnecessary added expense. Councilmember Straddeck discussed affordable housing.
40 Councilmember Horner talked more about sidewalks. Mayor Phillips talked again about the track
41 driveway. He said if the Council didn’t like that, now was the time to make that change. The
42 Council wanted the wording changed to contiguous hard-surface driveway instead of a track
43 driveway. Boal said, in error shrubs had not been taken out. The Council wanted the requirement
44 for shrubs be taken out but to leave the requirements for trees in. (Proposed A and C would need
45 changed) Councilmember Horner told Bybee he would be willing to look at a different
46 configuration to the lots.

47
48 Councilmember Hokanson moved to adopt Ordinance 2008-06 with the changes as discussed to
49 the driveway and shrubs. Councilmember Horner made the second. No further discussion.

1 Voting AYE: Jeffery Bradshaw, Elizabeth Hokanson, Eric Straddeck, Nile Horner and Robert
2 Patterson.

3
4 **FAA Grant Application – Snow Removal Building – Airport Layout Plan Update:**

5 Anderson reviewed the grant from the Federal Aviation Administration that would allow for the
6 removal of the Lloyd Building and construction of a snow-removing equipment building. The
7 grant also provided for an update to the terminal area of the Airport Layout Plan.

8
9 Mayor Phillips indicated that after the budget process was finished, Anderson would like to have
10 a meeting with the Council strictly on the airport.

11
12 Anderson indicated he had recently met with state engineer from the Denver office and
13 unfortunately, did not get a positive feeling about getting this grant. He indicated they had talked
14 about using entitlement moneys for the next two years in order to get this project done.

15
16 Councilmember Bradshaw moved to approve the grant application to the FAA for a snow
17 removal building and update to the Airport Layout Plan. Councilmember Patterson made the
18 second.

19
20 Councilmember Horner wanted to go look at the Lloyd Building and assess it before talking
21 about tearing it down. Anderson indicated the building was in poor shape and from the
22 perspective of the Airport Board members, they believed that land could be used for a better
23 purpose. Councilmember Horner again said he wanted to have a look at the building and/or have
24 the Council meet at the airport for a tour before submitting the grant. It was discussed the grant
25 was not written for renovation. Also discussed was that the City received \$150,000 each year
26 entitlement monies.

27
28 Mayor Phillips asked for a vote on the motion. Voting AYE: Jeffery Bradshaw, Elizabeth
29 Hokanson, Eric Straddeck and Robert Patterson. Voting NAY: Nile Horner.

30
31 **CITY COUNCIL BOARD ASSIGNMENTS – REPORTS**

32
33 Wasatch County Housing Authority – 1st Wednesday
34 Heber City Planning Commission – 2nd Thursday
35 Wasatch City/County Health Department – 4th Tuesday
36 Heber Light and Power – 4th Wednesday
37 Heber City Planning Commission – 4th Thursday
38 Historic Preservation – As Needed

39
40 No reports were given.

41
42 As there was no further business, the April 3, 2008, Regular Meeting of the Heber City Council
43 adjourned at 10:55 p.m.

44
45
46
47
48

Paulette Thurber, City Recorder